

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/798,002	03/11/2004	W. Gene Cretsinger	CRET 04-1-1	6287
23531 7	590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
SUITER WE			SALDANO), LISA M
14301 FNB PA SUITE 220	ARKWAY	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OMAHA, NE	68154		3673	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		/798,002	CRETSINGER ET AL.				
✓	ex Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		a M. Saldano	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply withi aximum statutory period will app of for reply will, by statute, caus e months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be timenthe statutory minimum of thirty (30) day only and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>11 March</u>	<u>2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .							
3) ☐ Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with th	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are rejecte	· · · 						
7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject t	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
• • • •	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	•		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	ne of: priority documents hat priority documents hat copies of the priority d ternational Bureau (P0	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat locuments have been receive CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗔 Intonéesse Sussesses	4 (DTO 412)				
 1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 	Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Species 1- as represented by Figures 5-8.
- b. Species 2- as represented by Figs. 9&10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15-18 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 3673

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. During a telephone conversation with Sean Suiter on November 19, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species 1, claims 1-7 and 15-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-14 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because the specification refers to a Figure 11, but the drawing do not contain a Figure 11. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Application/Control Number: 10/798,002

Art Unit: 3673

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 4

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every 5. feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "container for packaging the sleep system," as recited in claim 18, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

Art Unit: 3673

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 24, paragraph [0062] the applicant refers to "...FIGS. 9, 10 and 11." However, the drawings do not contain a Figure 11.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3673

8. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Todd (51,981).

Regarding claims 15-17, Todd discloses a bed bottom including a frame with blocks e that function as cross members (see fig.4). Todd further discloses first and second panel assemblies created by a plurality of slats d, the first panel assembly of slats d being placed above the second panel assembly of slats d. A sleep support mechanism comprising disks c and spindles a is coupled to the first and second panel assemblies, thereby coupling them together (see Fig.5). Todd further discloses that a mattress, which functions as a pad, is placed upon the disks (see column 2, first full paragraph). The support mechanisms enable adjustable support for a user.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Gaboury et al (US2003/0079284).

Todd discloses the features as described above.

However, Todd fails to disclose a container for packaging the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,002

Art Unit: 3673

Gaboury et al disclose a bed foundation wherein the components of the bed may be packaged together in a container.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Todd to comprise a container for packaging the bed components, as taught by Gaboury et al, because the bed would need to be transported and distributed for sale after manufacture.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1-7 would be allowed over the prior art of record.
- 12. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding independent claim 1 and its dependents, the closest prior art of record, Todd (51,981) discloses features described above. However, Todd fails to disclose a cone, a first spring and a second spring, whereby the first spring is coupled with the cone and a first panel

Art Unit: 3673

assembly and the second spring is coupled with the cone and a second panel assembly, in combination with the rest of the limitations recited by the applicant in claims 1-7 of the present invention.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Withrow (1,836,353), King (912,855) and Franz (1,255,151) disclose features that are pertinent to the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Saldano whose telephone number is 703-605-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600